

## 1. Should we open more Resource Bases?

### All responses

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
14,892	178	1.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
134	13	44	17	16

\* Estimated number of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors & addresses in local roads.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* 'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

### Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open new Resourced Provision and/or SEN Units for children with EHCPs?



Yes, the Royal Borough should open new Resourced Provision and/or SEN Units for children with EHCPs.	No, the Royal Borough should not open new Resourced Provision and/or SEN Units for children with EHCPs.	I don't know whether the Royal Borough should open new Resourced Provision and/or SEN Units for children with EHCPs.	I have no view on whether the Royal Borough should open new Resourced Provision and/or SEN Units for children with EHCPs.	Total
166	8	3	1	178
93%	4%	2%	1%	100%

### Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
166	8	3	177
94%	5%	2%	100%

### Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').


-	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	134	13	44	17	16
Yes	125 (94%)	13 (100%)	43 (98%)	15 (88%)	16 (100%)
No	6 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (12%)	0 (0%)
Don't know	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
No view	[1]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]

**Responses from parents/carers of RBWM EHCP children and young people**

No of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
1,114	56	5.0%

Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents	Responses from organisations
56	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

\* Based on number of resident children with EHCPs (December 2022)

**Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open new Resourced Provision and/or SEN Units for children with EHCPs?**

Yes, the Royal Borough should open new Resourced Provision and/or SEN Units for children with EHCPs.	No, the Royal Borough should not open new Resourced Provision and/or SEN Units for children with EHCPs.	I don't know whether the Royal Borough should open new Resourced Provision and/or SEN Units for children with EHCPs.	I have no view on whether the Royal Borough should open new Resourced Provision and/or SEN Units for children with EHCPs.	Total
49	5	2	0	56
88%	9%	4%	0%	100%

**Comments made in relation to opening more Resource Bases**

<b>Issue raised in comments</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>No. making comment</b>
Resource Bases needed as not enough local provision.	Positive	21
Resource Bases will help school(s) provide more support for children with SEND.	Positive	19
Many SEND children and young people who can remain in mainstream, with support.	Positive	7
All schools should have the opportunity to have a Resource Base.	Neutral	5
Need Resource Base provision to provide continuity across all age groups.	Neutral	4
More early intervention is needed, especially where no diagnoses has yet been made.	Positive	4
Should be a new SEND school.	Neutral	4
SEND provision should be wider than just for EHCP children and young people.	Neutral	4
Resource Bases should be distributed across the borough.	Positive	3
Demand for SEND provision is increasing, and needs to be met.	Positive	3
Staff will need to be fully trained.	Neutral	3
Decision should reflect needs at school and across the borough.	Neutral	3
Needs to be more provision for ASC needs.	Positive	3
Needs to be more provision for SEMH needs.	Neutral	2
All support should be at their school, not require travel to another site.	Negative	2
Mainstream provision is not right for all SEND children and young people.	Negative	2
Resource Bases will benefit all children through greater inclusion.	Positive	2
Resource Bases must not be a substitute (on grounds of cost) for special school places where those are needed.	Negative	2
Proposal should not affect the quality of education already provided.	Neutral	2
Resource Bases may not be addressing the right needs.	Negative	2
Some SEND children and young people will benefit from being in mainstream class	Positive	2
Should concentrate funding on improving existing provision.	Negative	1
No connection with school, so no comment.	Neutral	1
Secondary schools are too large for SEND pupils with anxiety issues.	Neutral	1
Plans will only benefit a small number of children, at significant cost and effort.	Negative	1
Need to ensure that the revenue funding is in place to support the new provision.	Neutral	1
Concerned about mixed timetable between mainstream and SEND.	Negative	1
Support the development of the SEND Careers Hub by Manor Green School.	Positive	1
Need to provide for brighter children as well.	Neutral	1
Support Priority 5 of the SEND Strategy.	Positive	1
Need to provide a base for the SEND Careers Hub after 2025.	Neutral	1
School is not currently meeting the needs of my child.	Negative	1
Resource Bases should be prioritised for Windsor.	Neutral	1
Should consider provision for children without EHCPs who are struggling with school related attendance anxieties.	Neutral	1
Need to evaluate how well schools are meeting SEND needs.	Neutral	1
Needs to be more provision for ADHD needs.	Neutral	1
School is not currently meeting the needs of my child.	Negative	1
Resource Base should be considered at this school.	Neutral	1
Plans will benefit all children and young people.	Positive	1
Will need more Resource Bases than currently proposed.	Neutral	1
Needs to be more provision for MLD needs.	Neutral	1
Resource Bases may not be in the right places, and could be difficult to get to.	Negative	1
Needs of children and young people with CYPDS need to be considered in decision-making.	Neutral	1
Resource Bases should be for school's own children, not bring more SEND pupils in.	Negative	1
Not enough support for parents/carers applying for an EHCP.	Negative	1

Issue raised in comments (continued)	Type	No. making comment
Consider opening new provision at schools with good public transport links.	Positive	1
Likely to need more specialist provision, for children with greater needs, than proposed.	Negative	1
Resource Bases are not meeting the needs of children attending, who then need to go on to special schools.	Negative	1
My child is unlikely to benefit from these plans.	Negative	1
Individual Education Plan (IEP) children need more support, particularly ASC.	Neutral	1

## 2. Should we open an Early Years School Readiness Hub?

### All responses

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
14,892	178	1.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
134	13	44	17	16

\* Estimated number of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors & addresses in local roads.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* 'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

### Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open an Early Years School Readiness Hub?



Yes, the Royal Borough should open an Early Years school Readiness Hub.	No, the Royal Borough should not open an Early Years school Readiness Hub.	I don't know whether the Royal Borough should open an Early Years school Readiness Hub.	I have no view on whether the Royal Borough should open an Early Years school Readiness Hub.	Total
158	4	8	8	178
89%	2%	4%	4%	100%

### Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
158	4	8	170
93%	2%	5%	100%

### Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').


-	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	134	13	44	17	16
Yes	117 (92%)	13 (100%)	40 (93%)	15 (88%)	16 (100%)
No	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	2 (12%)	0 (0%)
Don't know	8 (6%)	0 (0%)	2 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
No view	[7]	[0]	[1]	[0]	[0]

**Responses from parents/carers of RBWM EHCP children and young people**

No of consultees*	Responses received	Response rate
1,114	56	5.0%

Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents	Responses from organisations
56	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

\* Based on number of resident children with EHCPs (December 2022)

**Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open an Early Years School Readiness Hub?**

Yes, the Royal Borough should open an Early Years school Readiness Hub.	No, the Royal Borough should not open an Early Years school Readiness Hub.	I don't know whether the Royal Borough should open an Early Years school Readiness Hub.	I have no view on whether the Royal Borough should open an Early Years school Readiness Hub.	Total
47	2	3	4	56
84%	4%	5%	7%	100%

**Comments made in relation to opening an Early Years School Readiness Hub**

<b>Issue raised in comments</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>No. making comment</b>
More early intervention is needed, especially where no diagnoses has yet been made.	Positive	9
School Readiness Hub is a good idea.	Positive	3
School Readiness Hub will help children at risk of school exclusion and longer-term difficulties.	Positive	2
Childcare professionals already see the types of need to be met by the School Readiness Hub, and so support.	Positive	1
Child benefited from a similar School Readiness Hub in another area.	Positive	1
School Readiness Hubs could reduce burden on Reception class teachers	Positive	1
School Readiness Hub should help wider age range.	Neutral	1
Will help with readiness for school transition	Positive	1
This school is very good with SEND children and young people.	Positive	1
Will encourage early learning independence.	Positive	1
Plans will benefit all children and young people.	Positive	1
Would benefit pre-school children.	Positive	1
Proposal should not affect the quality of education already provided.	Neutral	1

### 3. Resourced Provision for Cognition & Learning at Cox Green School?

#### All responses

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
14,892	178	1.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
134	13	44	17	16

\* Estimated number of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors & addresses in local roads.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* 'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

#### Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open a Resourced Provision for Cognition and Learning at Cox Green School?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
112	10	22	34	178
63%	6%	12%	19%	100%

#### Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
112	10	22	144
78%	7%	15%	100%

#### Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

-	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	134	13	44	17	16
Yes	83 (77%)	6 (86%)	34 (89%)	11 (79%)	9 (69%)
No	9 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)
Don't know	16 (15%)	1 (14%)	4 (11%)	2 (14%)	4 (31%)
No view	[26]	[6]	[6]	[3]	[3]



## Responses from the Cox Green School community

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
970	26	2.7%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
20	0	2	9	n/a

\* Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. Of families at the school + staff + governors.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, as some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* Local residents excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.  
Local residents includes consultees who are a parent, governor or member of staff at another school, but live locally to this school.

## Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open a Resourced Provision for Cognition and Learning at Cox Green School?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
21	4	1	0	26
81%	15%	4%	0%	100%

## Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
21	4	1	26
81%	15%	4%	100%

## Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	20	0	2	9	n/a
Yes	16 (80%)	(0%)	2 (100%)	8 (89%)	n/a
No	3 (15%)	(0%)	(0%)	1 (11%)	n/a
Don't know	1 (5%)	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)	n/a
No view	[0%]	[0%]	[0%]	[0%]	n/a

**Comments made in relation to opening a Resourced Provision at Cox Green School**

<b>Issue raised in comments</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>No. making comment</b>
Should not be a Resource Base at this school.	Negative	2
School is not currently meeting the needs of my child.	Negative	2
Should be a Resource Base at this school.	Positive	1
This school should be a top priority.	Positive	1

#### 4. Resourced Provision for Cognition & Learning at Desborough College?

##### All responses

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
14,892	178	1.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
134	13	44	17	16

\* Estimated number of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors & addresses in local roads.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* 'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

##### Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open a Resourced Provision for Cognition and Learning at Desborough College?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
116	8	21	33	178
65%	4%	12%	19%	100%

##### Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
116	8	21	145
80%	6%	14%	100%

##### Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

-	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	134	13	44	17	16
Yes	84 (78%)	7 (88%)	35 (92%)	11 (79%)	13 (87%)
No	7 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)
Don't know	17 (16%)	1 (13%)	3 (8%)	2 (14%)	2 (13%)
No view	[26]	[5]	[6]	[3]	[1]

## Responses from the Desborough College community

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
748	14	1.9%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
7	0	3	4	n/a

\* Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. Of families at the school + staff + governors.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, as some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* Local residents excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.  
Local residents includes consultees who are a parent, governor or member of staff at another school, but live locally to this school.

## Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open a Resourced Provision for Cognition and Learning at Desborough College?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
13	0	1	0	14
93%	0%	7%	0%	100%

## Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
13	0	1	14
93%	0%	7%	100%

## Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	7	0	3	4	n/a
Yes	7 (100%)	(0%)	3 (100%)	3 (75%)	n/a
No	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	n/a
Don't know	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)	1 (25%)	n/a
No view	[0%]	[0%]	[0%]	[0%]	n/a

**Comments made in relation to opening a Resourced Provision at Desborough College**

<b>Issue raised in comments</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>No. making comment</b>
Resource Base shouldn't be at single-sex school.	Negative	1

## 5. Resourced Provision for Communication & Interaction at Hilltop First School?

### All responses

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
14,892	178	1.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
134	13	44	17	16

\* Estimated number of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors & addresses in local roads.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* 'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

### Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open a Resourced Provision for Communication & Interaction at Hilltop First School?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
114	11	26	27	178
64%	6%	15%	15%	100%

### Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
114	11	26	151
75%	7%	17%	100%

### Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

-	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	134	13	44	17	16
Yes	85 (75%)	8 (73%)	34 (83%)	11 (73%)	10 (77%)
No	7 (6%)	1 (9%)	2 (5%)	2 (13%)	1 (8%)
Don't know	21 (19%)	2 (18%)	5 (12%)	2 (13%)	2 (15%)
No view	[21]	[2]	[3]	[2]	[3]

## Responses from the Hilltop First School community

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
187	25	13.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
9	3	8	12	n/a

\* Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. Of families at the school + staff + governors.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, as some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* Local residents excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.  
Local residents includes consultees who are a parent, governor or member of staff at another school, but live locally to this school.

## Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open a Resourced Provision for Communication &amp; Interaction at Hilltop First School?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
19	2	1	3	25
76%	8%	4%	12%	100%

## Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
19	2	1	22
76%	8%	4%	88%

## Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	9	3	8	12	n/a
Yes	8 (89%)	2 (67%)	7 (88%)	7 (58%)	n/a
No	1 (11%)	1 (33%)	(0%)	2 (17%)	n/a
Don't know	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)	1 (8%)	n/a
No view	[0%]	[0%]	[100%]	[200%]	n/a

## 6. SEN Unit for Communication & Interaction at Hilltop First School?

### All responses

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
14,892	178	1.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
134	13	44	17	16

\* Estimated number of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors & addresses in local roads.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* 'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

### Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open a SEN Unit for Communication & Interaction at Hilltop First School?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
121	9	25	23	178
68%	5%	14%	13%	100%

### Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
121	9	25	155
78%	6%	16%	100%

### Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

-	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	134	13	44	17	16
Yes	89 (77%)	9 (75%)	37 (88%)	10 (67%)	12 (86%)
No	6 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (20%)	0 (0%)
Don't know	20 (17%)	3 (25%)	5 (12%)	2 (13%)	2 (14%)
No view	[19]	[1]	[2]	[2]	[2]



## Responses from the Hilltop First School community

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
187	25	13.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
9	3	8	12	n/a

\* Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. Of families at the school + staff + governors.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, as some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* Local residents excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.  
Local residents includes consultees who are a parent, governor or member of staff at another school, but live locally to this school.

## Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open a SEN Unit for Communication &amp; Interaction at Hilltop First School?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
21	1	1	2	25
84%	4%	4%	8%	100%

## Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
21	1	1	23
84%	4%	4%	92%

## Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	9	3	8	12	n/a
Yes	9 (100%)	3 (100%)	8 (100%)	8 (67%)	n/a
No	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)	1 (8%)	n/a
Don't know	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)	1 (8%)	n/a
No view	[0%]	[0%]	[0%]	[200%]	n/a

## 7. Resourced Provision or SEN Unit at Hilltop First School?

### All responses

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
14,892	178	1.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
134	13	44	17	16

\* Estimated number of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors & addresses in local roads.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* 'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

**There are two options for a Resource Base at Hilltop. Please indicate whether you have a preference for either?**



I'd prefer a Resourced Provision for Communication and Interaction at Hilltop	I'd prefer a SEN Unit for Communication and Interaction at Hilltop	I don't know which option I'd prefer at Hilltop	I have no preference between the two options for Hilltop	Total
18	47	33	80	178
10%	26%	19%	45%	100%

**Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question**  
(many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
18	47	33	98
18%	48%	34%	100%

**Breakdown of views by category of respondent** (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	<b>134</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>
Yes	13 (18%)	2 (29%)	5 (18%)	3 (27%)	1 (9%)
No	33 (45%)	4 (57%)	14 (50%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)
Don't know	27 (37%)	1 (14%)	9 (32%)	3 (27%)	4 (36%)
No view	[61]	[6]	[16]	[6]	[5]

## Responses from the Hilltop First School community

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
187	25	13.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
9	3	8	12	n/a

\* Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. Of families at the school + staff + governors.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, as some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* Local residents excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.  
Local residents includes consultees who are a parent, governor or member of staff at another school, but live locally to this school.

**There are two options for a Resource Base at Hilltop. Please indicate whether you have a preference for either?**



I'd prefer a Resourced Provision for Communication and Interaction at Hilltop	I'd prefer a SEN Unit for Communication and Interaction at Hilltop	I don't know which option I'd prefer at Hilltop	I have no preference between the two options for Hilltop	Total
3	13	1	8	25
12%	52%	4%	32%	100%

**Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):**

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
3	13	1	17
12%	52%	4%	68%

**Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').**

-	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	9	3	8	12	n/a
Yes	2 (22%)	(0%)	1 (13%)	1 (8%)	n/a
No	5 (56%)	2 (67%)	6 (75%)	5 (42%)	n/a
Don't know	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)	1 (8%)	n/a
No view	[2]	[1]	[1]	[5]	[]

**Comments made in relation to opening a Resource Base at Hilltop First School**

Issue raised in comments	Type	No. making comment
No connection with school, so no comment.	Neutral	2
Should be a SEN Unit at this school.	Positive	1
Will worsen access and traffic issues.	Negative	1
Potential for communication and interaction base to have a very wide range of needs, which may not be manageable.	Negative	1
Resource Bases will help school(s) provide more support for children with SEND.	Positive	1
Resource Bases may not be addressing the right needs.	Negative	1
My child is unlikely to benefit from these plans.	Negative	1
School is not currently meeting the needs of my child.	Negative	1
Should not be a Resource Base at this school.	Negative	1
Decision should reflect needs at school and across the borough.	Neutral	1
This school is very good with SEND children and young people.	Positive	1
Should be a Resource Base at this school.	Positive	1
Resource Base should be considered at this school.	Neutral	1
Decision on type of base at Hilltop should reflect needs at school and across the borough.	Neutral	1

## 8. Early Years School Readiness Hub at The Lawns Nursery School?

### All responses

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
14,892	178	1.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
134	13	44	17	16

\* Estimated number of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors & addresses in local roads.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* 'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

### Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open an Early Years School Readiness Hub at The Lawns Nursery School?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
125	8	18	27	178
70%	4%	10%	15%	100%

### Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
125	8	18	151
83%	5%	12%	100%

### Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

-	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	134	13	44	17	16
Yes	92 (82%)	10 (100%)	35 (85%)	12 (80%)	13 (93%)
No	6 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)
Don't know	14 (13%)	0 (0%)	5 (12%)	1 (7%)	1 (7%)
No view	[22]	[3]	[3]	[2]	[2]

## Responses from The Lawns Nursery School community

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
163	5	3.1%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
0	0	0	5	n/a

\* Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. Of families at the school + staff + governors.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, as some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* Local residents excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.  
Local residents includes consultees who are a parent, governor or member of staff at another school, but live locally to this school.

## Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open an Early Years School Readiness Hub at The Lawns Nursery School?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
4	0	1	0	5
80%	0%	20%	0%	100%

## Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
4	0	1	5
80%	0%	20%	100%

## Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	0	0	0	5	n/a
Yes	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)	4 (80%)	n/a
No	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	n/a
Don't know	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)	1 (20%)	n/a
No view	[0%]	[0%]	[0%]	[0%]	n/a

## 9. Resourced Provision for Cognition and Learning at Trevelyan Middle School?

### All responses

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
14,892	178	1.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
134	13	44	17	16

\* Estimated number of consultees. Based on no. of families at the schools + staff, governors & addresses in local roads.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, because some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* 'Local residents' excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at a school.

### Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open a Resourced Provision for Cognition and Learning at Trevelyan Middle School?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
122	9	20	27	178
69%	5%	11%	15%	100%

### Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
122	9	20	151
81%	6%	13%	100%

### Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

-	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	134	13	44	17	16
Yes	91 (81%)	9 (90%)	35 (83%)	11 (73%)	12 (86%)
No	6 (5%)	1 (10%)	2 (5%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)
Don't know	15 (13%)	0 (0%)	5 (12%)	2 (13%)	2 (14%)
No view	[22]	[3]	[2]	[2]	[2]

## Responses from the Trevelyan Middle School community

No of consultees*	Responses received**	Response rate
513	32	6.2%


Responses from parents/carers	Responses from governors	Responses from school staff	Responses from local residents***	Responses from organisations
24	1	6	4	n/a

\* Estimated no. of consultees. Based on no. Of families at the school + staff + governors.

\*\* Breakdown of responses may be higher than the total received, as some respondents may be in more than one category.

\*\*\* Local residents excludes those who have otherwise indicated they are a parent, governor or member of staff at this school.  
Local residents includes consultees who are a parent, governor or member of staff at another school, but live locally to this school.

## Do you agree that the Royal Borough should open a Resourced Provision for Cognition and Learning at Trevelyan Middle School?



Yes	No	Don't know	No view	Total
28	3	1	0	32
88%	9%	3%	0%	100%

## Views of all respondents, excluding those who have answered 'I have no view' to this question (many respondents choose not to give any views):

Yes	No	Don't know	Total
28	3	1	32
88%	9%	3%	100%

## Breakdown of views by category of respondent (percentage breakdowns exclude 'no view').

	Parents	Governors	School staff	Residents	Organisation
-	0	0	0	5	n/a
Yes	21 (88%)	1 (100%)	5 (83%)	4 (100%)	n/a
No	2 (8%)	(0%)	1 (17%)	(0%)	n/a
Don't know	1 (4%)	(0%)	(0%)	(0%)	n/a
No view	[0%]	[0%]	[0%]	[0%]	n/a



**Comments made in relation to opening Resourced Provision at Trevelyan Middle School**

Issue raised in comments	Type	No. making comment
School is not currently meeting the needs of my child.	Negative	4
Should not be a Resource Base at this school.	Negative	2
No connection with school, so no comment.	Neutral	1
This school is very good with SEND children and young people.	Positive	1
This school should be a top priority.	Positive	1